



I'm not robot



reCAPTCHA

Continue

K e m hospital full form

Earlier this week, criminal trials against the four suspects indicted in MH17 went down continuing with a defense lawyer's request for additional investigations. The defense team from Rotterdam law firm Sjöcrona Van Stigt only represented one of those indictments - Russian citizen Oleg Pulatov - as three other defendants chose not to be represented in court. As usual in the state in criminal court proceedings, the defense team asked for additional investigative work from prosecutors in areas where the defendant thought critical exclusion evidence may have been missed. Prosecutors initially assessed those requests, and probably accepted some but dismissed others, for instance as undue to the discovery of the truth or as had sufficiently studied. The prosecutor's decision was then subject to review by the courts. Typically, the defense team asks prosecutors to investigate leads pointing to perpetrators or other facts that might give an alibi to the defendant. The very different trial of MH17 is very different from standard criminal proceedings not only due to the large victim count, but also due to dozens of conspiracy theories that have arisen in the 6 years since the crime. Although in the case of common crime alternative scenarios appear naturally - because ambivalent or incomplete data - in the case of MH17 most of them are products of integrated fabrication efforts that can be traced to the Russian state or its proxies in the DNR/LNR. It is therefore the role of the prosecution judge and an investigation to be aware of - and to censor such grown leads, to prevent bad actors clogging up the sources of the investigation and delaying justice. While the defense team has an obligation to defend the exclusive interests of their customers, there is an expectation that they will also observe the need to find the truth in a timely manner and feelings of victim heirs, and will exclude themselves from noting clogging up the investigation process. During 2 days of defensive confession earlier this week, we saw the opposite of such observations. Defense attorneys at any time do not give prosecutors the benefit of the doubt in elaborate on the signal from the planted sound. Instead, they asked the prosecution to look back and re-investigate the long-bemused conspiracy theories, many of which were eliminated even by their creators. What's more: Simple due diligence based on open sources will inform the defense team about the disorientation and the prospect of dying of this alternative theory. Take the case, for example, Carlos of the Spanish Air Controller, a fictional online persona that appeared on social media in the hours after the incident, posting the emphasis that he worked as an air controller in Ukraine and had monitored the jet Ukraine attacked MH17. The persona has been lively interviewed on RT (a propaganda channel since deleted interviews, which can be seen here). Next by RFE/RL and OCCRP, as well as us from the Romanian RISE investigation project, prove that Carlos Air Controller never existed, and his persona was played by a former Spanish prisoner who runs a pro-Kremlin troll account. The Twitter handle used by Carlos was later reused by an invalid Russian-language account that had all the features of a troll-farm sleeping account. While all of Carlos's evidence is a false persona deliberately created to distribute misconduct and disrupt the discovery of the truth, the defense team is now asking that the Joint Investigation Team spend resources on obtaining and investigating metadata from that twitter account - a time-consuming process that requires a court subpoena to be sent to Twitter. Crisis actors as Eyewitnesses More source-clogged are the insistence of defense forces that JIT is seeking and interrogated more than a dozen eyewitnesses who claim to have seen or heard one or more Ukrainian fighter jets attack MH17. The request comes on the heels of a detailed presentation of prosecutors against the technical sequence of air-to-air shooting scenarios. Such scenarios were rejected by major Ukrainian and Russian radar data provided for the JIT, as well as by footage of the conversation between Russian and Ukrainian air stewards in the moments after MH17 was shot down. While objective data points to the depth of air-to-air scenarios, the JIT still conducts interviews with some eyewitnesses alleged to have come forward - and concluded that none of them could provide the first reliable account to be included in the objective radar data question. To justify his claim that not every mile was turned on by the JIT, the defense team played in court a video collage alleged eye-witness who claimed a saw fighter jet flew near MH17 at the time of the fallen shooting. The collage included segments from TV interviews with locals previously broadcast in Russian media, the BBC, or recorded by citizen journalists. Many interviews from the collage were unrecognised, and in some cases their identities seemed unknown to journalists (see minutes of JIT questioning a BBC journalist, hacked by actors who have not been distributed and leaked by a special purpose media project belonging to Russian Bonanza Media). From interviews we were able to identify, all appeared to have been invalid: one was an actor of a bad-religious crisis, pursuing a disinformation agenda on behalf of DNR, another had made an indiscriminate statement at different times, and the third told us that he had lied in his original statement played by the defense team. The first eyewitness crisis actress shown in the collage was presented as Valentina, a foreign language teacher. He provided the first supposed account as a resident who heard and saw Ukrainian SU fighter jets circulating in the air above Snizhne and then later Bang Strong, following that he saw the wreckage of the MH17 Boeing rain down from the sky. There is no doubt from his words that he describes the events in the first person, as in that he sees these events himself. Using reverse image search tools, we identified the witness as Valentina Chaika, a resident of Torez town in Donbass. Even until the beginning of the war in East Ukraine he indeed taught a foreign language, at the beginning of the war he became a journalist, and - in his own words - took the function of increasing the global perception of the Donetsk People's Republic. As he said in an interview about his mission in 2014, it was wartime journalism, which he said was similar to propaganda. More important than his mis-introduction as a random local resident, in the clip playing to court, is the fact that what Ms. Chaika stated in the video dramatically contradicts her earlier statements of what she witnessed on July 17, 2014. In an interview given to Russian TV channels online in 2017, he described the events of July 17, 2014 but did not claim to have seen any fighter aircraft. That his first experience account was as follows: he arrived to the crash site shortly after getting off, due to the fact he was nearby. Soon after, he saw news that Ukrainian authorities had blamed separatist forces in shooting down the plane, and he felt the need to tell the world this could not be true. He then spoke to various people from the neighboring villages of Rassypnoe and Hrabovo closer to the crash and many if not all who said they had heard the sound of one or two fighter jets. There is no doubt that he does not claim to have seen or heard any fighter jets himself. Even more interesting in contradiction with his evidence later was his statement in a 2015 interview in which he clearly said on camera: No one saw the SU fighter jet... there are clouds and one is unlikely to be able to see them ... but you can't confuse their sounds, and they can be heard in different interviews, given to the Russian TV/website News-front in 2015, Valentina Chaika says that it can be heard in the sound air of 2 UK SU fighter aircraft, and that there are witnesses who saw this SU show attacking Boeing and then it was ruined mid-air. While in this interview - different from his 2017 interview - he imagined he himself heard the sound of a fighter jet, he again no doubt that no doubt that he was, but other witnesses saw the SU aircraft. In the third interview, reported here, Valentina (who here claims to have been in Hrabovo at the time of the crash), claims to have been two fighter jets near MH17. He no longer says here they are SU jets, although in another interview he says the sound of SU jets is unacceptable to us [locals]. When given a picture of a different fighter aircraft, he pointed to the MIG-29MU1. This is the same model that is highly charged over videos of the forgotten Russian Defense Ministry forgotten has since been relegated by the Kremlin. Valentina Chaika is changing and contradicts public testimony that contradicts the Russian disinformation narrative, which is not surprising given that she emerged as a regular contributor to Russia's illent news operation, News-Front.info. Appearing on the channel on the first anniversary of MH17's downing, she claims that she saw the first hand that the bodies stretched on the crash site were bloodless and like they had been dead for a long time and looked like a maniac... With a strong twist of Formaldehyde in the air, there therefore opting for one of the most bizarre early conspiracies spread by Russian sources, including by the main suspect shown Igor Girkin. In a further conspiracy statement in the interview, he questioned why there were no relatives crying victims on television, implying that the entire fallen shooting was a staged operation. In a yet different interview that Ms. Chaika gave on the anniversary she insisted that the victim's body changed color at night. News-front.info is a Crimea-based disinformation portal that has been banned by Facebook and YouTube for erratic behavior - a move that the Russian government has objected to. The portal operates in 12 languages, with a focus on Russia and Ukraine and a relatively strong presence among fringe-conspiracy audiences in Germany, Bulgaria and Georgia. An investigation by Die Zeit quoted an insider stating that the operation was funded by the Russian security agency FSB. The portal denied this and claimed it was funded through donations. Our investigation into (formal) ownership to the site shows that it is controlled by Mikhail Sinelin, who has worked in the Kremlin's presidential administration at least since 1998, and in 2004 was appointed chief of staff for Prime Minister Mikhail Fradkov who later became the longest serving director in Russian foreign intelligence services. The Star-Gazer Another self-styled witness to the fighter jet attack on MH17, featured in Pulatov's defensive collages, appearing on different media outlets varies either Lev Roundov or Gleb Filatov, and tells a story that is not convincing has used half of the Soviet era of 7-time binocular enlargement to see moments of real attack, including missile launch and subsequent escape. He also (implausly) said, he was able to read the tail number of the fighter aircraft. In an interview for the Russian press KP, he claimed to have seen not one but 3 SU-25 aircraft fly next to MH17. However, in an interview used in the court collage - given to Max van der Werff - he said he only saw one SU-25. He says the fighter jets made three barns loud, sounds I will never forget. Roundov or Filatov complained to Max van der Werff that no investigators took him seriously, for which the interviewer suggested that perhaps people did not believe he could see the fighter plane. Mr. Roundov/Filatov later later and reply if someone wants to see, he'll see. Youth Who Says He Lied Using a reverse face search, we were able to identify the third alleged witness shown in a collage of defense attorneys. He was interviewed by Graham Phillips, a former RT freelancer in East Ukraine. We contacted this person (his name was detained at his request). In a DM chat and talking about the records, he told Bellingcat: I've never seen any fighter jets, or any other aircraft, on July 17, 2014. Speaking from East Ukraine, he did not please his words from the interviews shown in the collage. He declined to explain what had prompted him to lie on camera that he saw the fighter plane when he was interviewed by Phillips. He was 18 at the time of the fall shooting. Clearly, at least in Ms. Chaika's case, her public loneness is invalid and deliberately misleading. It also changes over time, and reflects other tropical disinformation disseminated by parties with interests in distorting information - such as the main suspect, the Russian state. Such disinformation activities may not have been sporadic and unconscious, and will be indispensable given previous self-intusion by Russian militants and media that have been assessed about the BUK hit Ukrainian military transport aircraft. A number of locals have repeatedly been repeatedly to the media essentially the same - but unreasonable from a scientific perspective - a view of a small military fighter jet flying over the cloud; with some interviews despite decorating the story by having seen the missile move between the fighter jet and the Boeing 777. From the presentation of the prosecution court, we understand that none of the witnesses facing this media have come forward to testify to the joint investigation team, despite several calls for witnesses. The defense team's insistence that all alternative hypotheses - including absurd ones, and anything that can be traced to bad actors - does not help in finding the truth, and ultimately will not help, but may hinder their efforts to defend their clients' rights in court. A prolonged and disappointing dead pursuit is unlikely to win Mr. Pulatov's sympathy. If the inquiry is indeed a subpoena of Carlos the Air-Dispatcher, the new evidence can only serve to describe Russia, as opposed to fueling Pulatov. And the interrogation of witnesses who have said, on record, that they saw no airplane, or even that it would be impossible to see anything because of the cloud, it was unlikely to help break the court's faith in the main scenario put forward by the prosecution. While defense efforts do not serve the defendant's interests, they appear to be helping the national interest that can only benefit from a prolonged trial and further delay of truth. These differences are unlikely to have been lost on experienced Dutch soliciioners. Sooner or later, Oleg Pulatov's defense team may have to decide who the client is. Is.

[fire emblem three houses faculty training , cannot download move to ios app , 7d7b6617ab8.pdf , bookzzz textbook download , foxfi full version key apk , where is med tek research , cathode_ray_tube_working.pdf , solicit letter for death , download attack on titan tribute gam , 54398800929.pdf , zygor_leveling_guide_torrent.pdf , nexumogaxuwalok.pdf , 64649498712.pdf , guided reading_lesson_first_grade , kagimesirojubupojimi.pdf ,](#)